

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,326	10/16/2000		Stuart Edward Jones	G&C 30566.107-US-II	3477
22462	7590	09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
GATES &			•	LUDWIG, M	ATTHEW J
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE				2178	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/690,326	JONES ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAR	ATION.  ly be timely filed  Is from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 ₪     2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This     3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the co	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matter	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)	ed.  or election requirement.  er.  cepted or b) objected to by edrawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached 0	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/690,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to the RCE file June 28, 2005.

- 2. Claims 1-39 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 14, and 27, are independent claims.
- 3. Claims 1-39 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Plantz in view of Doherty have been withdrawn pursuant to applicants amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-14, 16-27, 29-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doherty ("Project specific Web sites," from Interiors, Vol. 157, March 1998), in view of Glasser et al., USPN 5,956,715 filed (9/23/1996).

In reference to independent claim 1, Doherty teaches:

An interactive web site hosted on the computer server, wherein the interactive web site is configured to provide access to architectural project information (project specific web site" for "construction" projects) (compare to "the server site has defined user access permissions"). See Doherty, pages 1 and 2. Furthermore, the reference discloses project members of the one or more projects ("members of the project teams") including defining access permissions for project members.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,326

Art Unit: 2178

Page 3

The Doherty reference fails to explicitly state the utilization of an API for obtaining a connection across the internet; however, Glasser discloses the use of API for maintaining connections across a network. Furthermore, the Glasser reference discloses a method for establishing or manipulating access controls for particular network resources, such as files and file folders or directories in a hierarchical file storage system associated with a server computer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Doherty and Glasser before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the architectural project information and access methods taught by Doherty to include the API and folder access techniques of Glasser because it would have provided the author the advantage of easy, user-friendly navigation across the web site and establishing access restrictions to files stored in a project folder.

#### In reference to dependent claim 3, Doherty teaches:

All provider services keep back-up copies of your project information, so that it will always be available on the Net no matter when or where you need it. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

In reference to dependent claim 4, Doherty teaches:

A user is given limited customization options that allow you to name the project, input contact information for each project team member, and upload project information. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

#### In reference to dependent claim 5, Doherty teaches:

All provider services keep back-up copies of your project information, so that it will always be available on the Net no matter when or where you need it. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

## In reference to dependent claim 6, Doherty teaches:

The Glasser reference discloses a method for establishing or manipulating access controls for particular network resources, such as files and file folders or directories in a hierarchical file storage system associated with a server computer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Doherty and Glasser before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the architectural project information and access methods taught by Doherty to include the API and folder access techniques of Glasser because it would have provided the author the advantage of easy, user-friendly navigation across the web site and establishing access restrictions to files stored in a project folder.

## In reference to dependent claim 7, Doherty teaches:

A user is given limited customization options that allow you to name the project, input contact information for each project team member, and upload project information. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

## In reference to dependent claim 8-10, Doherty teaches:

The Glasser reference discloses a method for establishing or manipulating access controls for particular network resources, such as files and file folders or directories in a hierarchical file storage system associated with a server computer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Doherty and Glasser before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the architectural project information and access methods taught by Doherty to include the API and folder access techniques of Glasser because it would have provided the author the advantage of easy, user-friendly navigation across the web site and establishing access restrictions to files stored in a project folder.

Art Unit: 2178

In reference to dependent claim 11, Doherty teaches:

The reference discloses the maintaining of an activity log that captures site member activities, logs or revisions. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

In reference to dependent claim 12, Doherty teaches:

A user is given limited customization options that allow you to name the project, input contact information for each project team member, and upload project information. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

In reference to dependent claim 13, Doherty teaches:

Maintaining an activity log that captures site member activities, logs or revisions. See Doherty, page 2 of 2.

In reference to claims 14-26, the claims reflect the methods comprising instructions used for performing similar limitations to those of the apparatus claims, 1-25, respectively, and in further view of the following, are rejected along the same rationale.

In reference to claims 27-39, the claims reflect the article of manufacture embodying an application program interface used for performing similar limitations to those of the apparatus claims, 1-25, respectively, and in further view of the following, are rejected under similar rationale.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2, 15, and 28, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/690,326

Art Unit: 2178

Page 6

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/28/05 have been fully considered but they are moot in view

of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML

September 18, 2005

STEPHEN HONG